



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/800,758	03/16/2004	Hideo Ando	249695US2SDIV	1350		
22850	7590 01/09/2006		EXAMINER			
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			NGUYEN, HUY THANH			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2616			
				DATE MAILED: 01/09/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applic	cant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/800,758	ANDO	ANDO ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Un	nit		
		HUY T. NGUYEN	2616			
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	E of this communication app	ears on the cover s	neet with the correspo	ondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUT WHICHEVER IS LONGE - Extensions of time may be availal after SIX (6) MONTHS from the n - If NO period for reply is specified - Failure to reply within the set or e	CORY PERIOD FOR REPLY R, FROM THE MAILING DA ble under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 nailing date of this communication. above, the maximum statutory period w attended period for reply will, by statute, atter than three months after the mailing See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COM 36(a). In no event, however vill apply and will expire SIX cause the application to be	MUNICATION. The may a reply be timely filed (6) MONTHS from the mailing acome ABANDONED (35 U.S.	g date of this communication. .C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)⊠ This action is FINA 3)□ Since this application	munication(s) filed on <u>26 Oc</u> L. 2b) This on is in condition for allowar ce with the practice under E	action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above cla 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/a 6) ☑ Claim(s) 16-19 is/a 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/a 8) ☐ Claim(s) are Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed Applicant may not rec	re rejected.	vn from considerati r election requireme r. epted or b)□ objec drawing(s) be held in	ent. ted to by the Examina abeyance. See 37 CFR	R 1.85(a).		
11)☐ The oath or declara	tion is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the a	tached Office Action	or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19.					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (P'2) Notice of Draftsperson's Pater 3) Information Disclosure Statem Paper No(s)/Mail Date		5) No	erview Summary (PTO-41: per No(s)/Mail Date tice of Informal Patent App ner:	_•		

Application/Control Number: 10/800,758

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 16-19 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,618,550. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the difference between claims 16-19 of the present application and claims 1-3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,618,550 is that claims 1-3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,618,550 further recite entry number information of the additional audio information. However, it is noted that eliminating a part is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. See Elimination of an element and its function---*In re Karlson*, 153 USPQ 184 (CCPA 1963). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify

Application/Control Number: 10/800,758 Page 3

Art Unit: 2616

claims 1-3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,618,550 by eliminating entry information from claims 1-3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,618,550 to produce claims 16-19 of the present application.

3. Claims 16-19 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 16-19 of copending application 10/802,881.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the difference between claims 16-19 of the present application and Claims 16-19 of copending application 10/802,881 is that Claims 16-19 of copending application 10/802,881 further recite entry number information of the additional audio information. However, it is noted that elimination a part is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Claims 16-19 of copending application 10/802,881 by eliminating entry information from Claims 16-19 of copending application 10/802,881 1-3 to produce claims 16-19 of the present application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 2616

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUY T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571) 272-7378. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM -6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Groody can be reached on (571) 272-7950. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/800,758 Page 5

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

H.N

HUYNGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER